REPORT FOR PLANNING COMMITTEE – 20 OCTOBER 2016

HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF ENFORCEMENT CASES

1. Introduction

This report has been produced at the request of Members of the Committee as a one-off overview of historic cases. The sample analysis covers the period 2010 to 2015.

The data is extracted directly from the in-house system and there has been no individual review of case files.

2. Summary

Year	Cases Closed		
2010	756		
2011	670		
2012	589		
2013	997		
2014	763		
2015	472		

3. Reasons for Closure

This is presented overleaf in tabular form to enable Members to review the breakdown of each closure by year and by reason for closure.

Some of the reasons for closure are self-evident, but where there may be some uncertainty I have attempted to explain how these reasons came about.

Reason for	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Closure		-					1
Appeal Rec	1	0	0	07	20	40	-
Breach Ceased	107	75	59	87	39	13	380
BCN Issued	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
Breach resolved	0	0	0	2	46	43	91
CLU	0	1	3	1		1	6
Complaint Investigated				2	1	0	3
Comm-Take No Action	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
ENF Notice Served	1	1	3	4	3	2	14
Info Given	0	0	0	40	0	0	40
No breach	171	192	145	152	147	120	927
No remedial Action				1		39	40
Not expedient	25	0	1	118	93	0	237
Notice complied With	0	0	0	2	1	6	9
Planning App Rec	0	0	0	9	2	0	11
PP Granted	0	25	19	0	31	8	83
Prosecute	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Remedial Notice	0	0	0	1	3	0	4
S215	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Signed Off	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Superseded	7	3		14	6	1	31
Take No further Action	31	18	50	47	6	2	154
TF Take no action	32	17	14				63
Total	378	335	295	481	383	235	

Notes

Appeal received: - An appeal against a formal action.

BCN Issued: A Breach of Conditions notice

CLU: Certificate of Lawful use

Complaint Investigated: No formal action taken

Comm- Take No Action: decision made by Planning Committee

ENF: Enforcement

Info Given: Advice given that resulted in the breach being resolved

No Remedial Action: No action required

PP: Planning Permission

Remedial Notice: A notice that requires specific action

TF: These were outstanding cases reviewed by the previous Head of Service who concluded that no further action was required